

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/824,833	TOMITA, ATSUSHI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After Non-Final Rejection

(1) Ajay M. Bhatia.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jame A. LaBarre (Req. No. 28,632).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified applicant that claims 23 as currently stated is rejected under 35 USC 101, and provided possible claim language that would make the claim allowable. Applicant's representative said that he would have to contact applicant before a decision could be made. .